

$\Sigma(1770) 1/2^+$ $I(J^P) = 1(\frac{1}{2}^+)$ Status: *

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Evidence for this state now rests solely on solution 1 of BAILLON 75, (see the footnotes) but the $\Lambda\pi$ partial-wave amplitudes of this solution are in disagreement with amplitudes from most other $\Lambda\pi$ analyses. ZHANG 13A finds no evidence for this state.

 $\Sigma(1770)$ POLE POSITION**REAL PART**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1706^{+67}_{-60}	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA Multichannel

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15. Solution B Reports two poles at 1605^{+2}_{-4} and 2014^{+6}_{-13} MeV.

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
101^{+158}_{-84}	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA Multichannel

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15. Solution B reports two poles with 192^{+2}_{-10} and 140^{+28}_{-2} MeV width.

 $\Sigma(1770)$ POLE RESIDUES

The normalized residue is the residue divided by $\Gamma_{pole}/2$.

Normalized residue in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Sigma(1770) \rightarrow N\bar{K}$

MODULUS	PHASE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.0268	91	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA Multichannel

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

Normalized residue in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Sigma(1770) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$

MODULUS	PHASE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.145	−171	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA Multichannel

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

Normalized residue in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Sigma(1770) \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$

MODULUS	PHASE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.117	−76	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA Multichannel

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

Normalized residue in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Sigma(1770) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE ($^\circ$)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
----------------	------------------------------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.0722	-128	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA Multichannel
--------	------	---------------------	----	-------------------

¹From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

 $\Sigma(1770)$ MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

 ≈ 1770 OUR ESTIMATE

1738 ± 10	¹ GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1770 ± 20	² BAILLON	75	IPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$
1772	³ KANE	72	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$

¹Required to fit the isospin-1 total cross section of CARROLL 76 in the $\bar{K}N$ channel. The addition of new K^-p polarization and K^-n differential cross-section data in GOPAL 80 find it to be more consistent with the $\Sigma(1660) P_{11}$.

²From solution 1 of BAILLON 75; not present in solution 2.

³Not required in KANE 74, which supersedes KANE 72.

 $\Sigma(1770)$ WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

72 ± 10	¹ GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
80 ± 30	² BAILLON	75	IPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$
80	³ KANE	72	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$

¹Required to fit the isospin-1 total cross section of CARROLL 76 in the $\bar{K}N$ channel. The addition of new K^-p polarization and K^-n differential cross-section data in GOPAL 80 find it to be more consistent with the $\Sigma(1660) P_{11}$.

²From solution 1 of BAILLON 75; not present in solution 2.

³Not required in KANE 74, which supersedes KANE 72.

 $\Sigma(1770)$ DECAY MODES

Mode

Γ_1	$N\bar{K}$
Γ_2	$\Lambda\pi$
Γ_3	$\Sigma\pi$
Γ_4	$\Sigma(1385)\pi$
Γ_5	$N\bar{K}^*(892)$, $S=1/2$, P -wave
Γ_6	$N\bar{K}^*(892)$, $S=3/2$, P -wave

$\Sigma(1770)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

See “Sign conventions for resonance couplings” in the Note on Λ and Σ Resonances.

 $\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.14 \pm 0.04	¹ GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.016	² KAMANO	15	DPWA Multichannel

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹ Required to fit the isospin-1 total cross section of CARROLL 76 in the $\bar{K}N$ channel. The addition of new K^-p polarization and K^-n differential cross-section data in GOPAL 80 find it to be more consistent with the $\Sigma(1660) P_{11}$.

² From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.283	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA Multichannel

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

 $\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.595	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA Multichannel

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.103	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA Multichannel

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

 $\Gamma(N\bar{K}^*(892), S=1/2, P\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.004	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA Multichannel

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

 $\Gamma(N\bar{K}^*(892), S=3/2, P\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
not seen	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA Multichannel

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Sigma(1770) \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$				$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2)^{1/2} / \Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
< 0.04	GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
-0.08 ± 0.02	¹ BAILLON	75	IPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$

¹ From solution 1 of BAILLON 75; not present in solution 2.

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Sigma(1770) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$				$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_3)^{1/2} / \Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
< 0.04	GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
-0.108	¹ KANE	72	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$

¹ Not required in KANE 74, which supersedes KANE 72.

$\Sigma(1770)$ REFERENCES

KAMANO	15	PR C92 025205	H. Kamano <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, OSAK)
ZHANG	13A	PR C88 035205	H. Zhang <i>et al.</i>	(KSU)
GOPAL	80	Toronto Conf. 159	G.P. Gopal	(RHEL)
GOPAL	77	NP B119 362	G.P. Gopal <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, RHEL) IJP
CARROLL	76	PRL 37 806	A.S. Carroll <i>et al.</i>	(BNL) I
BAILLON	75	NP B94 39	P.H. Baillon, P.J. Litchfield	(CERN, RHEL) IJP
KANE	74	LBL-2452	D.F. Kane	(LBL) IJP
KANE	72	PR D5 1583	D.F.J. Kane	(LBL)