

$a_2(1700)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

$a_2(1700)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1732 ± 16 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.9.			
1737 ± 5 ± 7		ABE	04	BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-K^+K^-$
1698 ± 44		¹ AMSLER	02	CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta$
1660 ± 40		ABELE	99B	CBAR	1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
1675 ± 25		ANISOVICH	09	RVUE	0.0 $\bar{p}p, \pi N$
1722 ± 9 ± 15	18k	² SCHEGELSKY	06	RVUE 0	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1702 ± 7	80k	³ UMAN	06	E835	5.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
1721 ± 13 ± 44	145k	LU	05	B852	18 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\pi^0p$
1767 ± 14	221	⁴ ACCIARRI	01H	L3	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0K_S^0, E_{cm}^{ee} = 91, 183-209 \text{ GeV}$
~ 1775		⁵ GRYGOREV	99	SPEC	40 $\pi^-p \rightarrow K_S^0K_S^0n$
1752 ± 21 ± 4		ACCIARRI	97T	L3	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

¹ T-matrix pole.

² From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

³ Statistical error only.

⁴ Spin 2 dominant, isospin not determined, could also be $I=1$.

⁵ Possibly two $J^P = 2^+$ resonances with isospins 0 and 1.

$a_2(1700)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
194 ± 40 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.			
151 ± 22 ± 24		ABE	04	BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-K^+K^-$
265 ± 55		⁶ AMSLER	02	CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta$
280 ± 70		ABELE	99B	CBAR	1.94 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
270 ⁺ ₋₂₀		ANISOVICH	09	RVUE	0.0 $\bar{p}p, \pi N$
336 ± 20 ± 20	18k	⁷ SCHEGELSKY	06	RVUE 0	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
417 ± 19	80k	⁸ UMAN	06	E835	5.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\eta\pi^0$
279 ± 49 ± 66	145k	LU	05	B852	18 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\pi^0p$
187 ± 60	221	⁹ ACCIARRI	01H	L3	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0K_S^0, E_{cm}^{ee} = 91, 183-209 \text{ GeV}$
150 ± 110 ± 34		ACCIARRI	97T	L3	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$


⁶ T-matrix pole.

⁷ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

⁸ Statistical error only.

⁹ Spin 2 dominant, isospin not determined, could also be $I=1$.

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
 194 ± 40 (Error scaled by 1.6)



$a_2(1700)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\eta\pi$	seen
Γ_2 $\gamma\gamma$	
Γ_3 $\rho\pi$	
Γ_4 $f_2(1270)\pi$	
Γ_5 $K\bar{K}$	seen
Γ_6 $\omega\pi^-\pi^0$	seen
Γ_7 $\omega\rho$	seen

$a_2(1700)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\eta\pi)$ Γ_1

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

9.5 ± 2.0	870	¹⁰ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
---------------	-----	------------------------------	------	--

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ Γ_2

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.30 ± 0.05	870	¹⁰ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
-----------------	-----	------------------------------	------	--

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})$

Γ_5

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

5.0 ± 3.0	870	¹⁰ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
---------------	-----	------------------------------	------	--

¹⁰ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $a_2(1700)$ mass of 1730 MeV and width of 340 MeV, and SU(3) relations.

$a_2(1700) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$[\Gamma(\rho\pi) + \Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)] \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$(\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4)\Gamma_2/\Gamma$			
VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$0.29 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.02$		ACCIARRI	97T L3	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
--------------------------	--	----------	--------	--

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.37^{+0.12}_{-0.08} \pm 0.10$	18k	¹¹ SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
---------------------------------	-----	-----------------------------	------	--

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_5 \Gamma_2/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$20.6 \pm 4.2 \pm 4.6$	¹² ABE	04 BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
$49 \pm 11 \pm 13$	¹³ ACCIARRI	01H L3	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0, E_{\text{cm}}^{\text{ee}} = 91, 183\text{--}209 \text{ GeV}$

¹¹ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

¹² Assuming spin 2.

¹³ Spin 2 dominant, isospin not determined, could also be $I=1$.

$a_2(1700)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)$

Γ_3/Γ_4

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$3.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.1$	18k	¹⁴ SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
-----------------------	-----	-----------------------------	------	--

¹⁴ From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

$a_2(1700)$ REFERENCES

ANISOVICH	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev
SCHEGELSKY	06	EPJ A27 199	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>
SCHEGELSKY	06A	EPJ A27 207	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>
UMAN	06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i> (FNAL E835)
LU	05	PRL 94 032002	M. Lu <i>et al.</i> (BNL E852 Collab.)
ABE	04	EPJ C32 323	K. Abe <i>et al.</i> (BELLE Collab.)
AMSLER	02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>
ACCIARRI	01H	PL B501 173	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i> (L3 Collab.)
ABELE	99B	EPJ C8 67	A. Abele <i>et al.</i> (Crystal Barrel Collab.)
GRYGOREV	99	PAN 62 470	V.K. Grygorev <i>et al.</i>
ACCIARRI	97T	PL B413 147	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i> (L3 Collab.)