

**$a_1(1260)$**  $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^{++})$ 

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

 **$a_1(1260)$  MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b><math>1230 \pm 40</math> OUR ESTIMATE</b>					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
1331 $\pm 10 \pm 3$	37k	<sup>1</sup> ASNER	00	CLE2	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-, \tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
1255 $\pm 7 \pm 6$	5904	<sup>2</sup> ABREU	98G	DLPH	$e^+ e^-$
1207 $\pm 5 \pm 8$	5904	<sup>3</sup> ABREU	98G	DLPH	$e^+ e^-$
1196 $\pm 4 \pm 5$	5904	<sup>4,5</sup> ABREU	98G	DLPH	$e^+ e^-$
1240 $\pm 10$		BARBERIS	98B		$450 pp \rightarrow p_f \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 p_s$
1262 $\pm 9 \pm 7$		<sup>2,6</sup> ACKERSTAFF	97R	OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94, \tau \rightarrow 3\pi\nu$
1210 $\pm 7 \pm 2$		<sup>3,6</sup> ACKERSTAFF	97R	OPAL	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94, \tau \rightarrow 3\pi\nu$
1211 $\pm 7^{+50}_{-0}$		<sup>3</sup> ALBRECHT	93C	ARG	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1121 $\pm 8$		<sup>7</sup> ANDO	92	SPEC	$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$
1242 $\pm 37$		<sup>8</sup> IVANOV	91	RVUE	$\tau \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1260 $\pm 14$		<sup>9</sup> IVANOV	91	RVUE	$\tau \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1250 $\pm 9$		<sup>10</sup> IVANOV	91	RVUE	$\tau \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1208 $\pm 15$		ARMSTRONG	90	OMEG 0	$300.0 pp \rightarrow pp \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1220 $\pm 15$		<sup>11</sup> ISGUR	89	RVUE	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1260 $\pm 25$		<sup>12</sup> BOWLER	88	RVUE	
1166 $\pm 18 \pm 11$		BAND	87	MAC	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1164 $\pm 41 \pm 23$		BAND	87	MAC	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu$
1250 $\pm 40$		<sup>11</sup> TORNQVIST	87	RVUE	
1046 $\pm 11$		ALBRECHT	86B	ARG	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1056 $\pm 20 \pm 15$		RUCKSTUHL	86	DLCO	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1194 $\pm 14 \pm 10$		SCHMIDKE	86	MRK2	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
1255 $\pm 23$		BELLINI	85	SPEC	$40 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
1240 $\pm 80$		<sup>13</sup> DANKOWY...	81	SPEC 0	$8.45 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 3\pi$
1280 $\pm 30$		DAUM	81B	CNTR	$63.94 \pi^- p \rightarrow p 3\pi$
1041 $\pm 13$		<sup>14</sup> GAVILLET	77	HBC +	$4.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma 3\pi$

<sup>1</sup> From a fit to the  $3\pi$  mass spectrum including the  $K\bar{K}^*(892)$  threshold.

<sup>2</sup> Uses the model of KUHN 90.<sup>3</sup> Uses the model of ISGUR 89.<sup>4</sup> Includes the effect of a possible  $a'_1$  state.<sup>5</sup> Uses the model of FEINDT 90.<sup>6</sup> Supersedes AKERS 95P<sup>7</sup> Average and spread of values using 2 variants of the model of BOWLER 75.<sup>8</sup> Reanalysis of RUCKSTUHL 86.<sup>9</sup> Reanalysis of SCHMIDKE 86.<sup>10</sup> Reanalysis of ALBRECHT 86B.<sup>11</sup> From a combined reanalysis of ALBRECHT 86B, SCHMIDKE 86, and RUCKSTUHL 86.<sup>12</sup> From a combined reanalysis of ALBRECHT 86B and DAUM 81B.<sup>13</sup> Uses the model of BOWLER 75.<sup>14</sup> Produced in  $K^-$  backward scattering.

## $a_1(1260)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b>250 to 600 OUR ESTIMATE</b>					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
814 ± 36 ± 13	37k	15 ASNER	00 CLE2		$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-, \tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
450 ± 50	22k	16 AKHMETSHIN 99E	CMD2		$1.05-1.38$ $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
570 ± 10		17 BONDAR	99 RVUE		$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 4\pi,$ $\tau \rightarrow 3\pi \nu_\tau$
587 ± 27 ± 21	5904	18 ABREU	98G DLPH		$e^+ e^-$
478 ± 3 ± 15	5904	19 ABREU	98G DLPH		$e^+ e^-$
425 ± 14 ± 8	5904	20,21 ABREU	98G DLPH		$e^+ e^-$
400 ± 35		BARBERIS	98B		$450 pp \rightarrow p_f \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 p_s$
621 ± 32 ± 58		18,22 ACKERSTAFF	97R OPAL		$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94,$ $\tau \rightarrow 3\pi \nu$
457 ± 15 ± 17		19,22 ACKERSTAFF	97R OPAL		$E_{cm}^{ee} = 88-94,$ $\tau \rightarrow 3\pi \nu$
446 ± 21 <sup>+140</sup> <sub>-0</sub>		19 ALBRECHT	93C ARG		$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
239 ± 11		ANDO	92 SPEC		$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$
266 ± 13 ± 4		23 ANDO	92 SPEC		$8 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$
465 <sup>+228</sup> <sub>-143</sub>		24 IVANOV	91 RVUE		$\tau \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
298 <sup>+40</sup> <sub>-34</sub>		25 IVANOV	91 RVUE		$\tau \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$

$488 \pm 32$	<sup>26</sup> IVANOV ARMSTRONG	91 RVUE 90 OMEG 0	$\tau \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$ $300.0 pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$430 \pm 50$	<sup>27</sup> ISGUR	89 RVUE	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
$420 \pm 40$	<sup>28</sup> BOWLER BAND	88 RVUE 87 MAC	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$ $\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu$
$396 \pm 43$		87 MAC	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
$405 \pm 75 \pm 25$		87 MAC	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
$419 \pm 108 \pm 57$		87 MAC	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
$521 \pm 27$	ALBRECHT	86B ARG	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
$476^{+132}_{-120} \pm 54$	RUCKSTUHL	86 DLCO	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
$462 \pm 56 \pm 30$	SCHMIDKE	86 MRK2	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$
$292 \pm 40$	BELLINI	85 SPEC	$40 \pi^- A \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- A$
$380 \pm 100$	<sup>29</sup> DANKOWY...	81 SPEC 0	$8.45 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 3\pi$
$300 \pm 50$	<sup>29</sup> DAUM	81B CNTR	$63.94 \pi^- p \rightarrow p 3\pi$
$230 \pm 50$	<sup>30</sup> GAVILLET	77 HBC +	$4.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma 3\pi$

<sup>15</sup> From a fit to the  $3\pi$  mass spectrum including the  $K\bar{K}^*(892)$  threshold.

<sup>16</sup> Using the  $a_1(1260)$  mass of 1230 MeV.

<sup>17</sup> From AKHMETSHIN 99E and ASNER 00 data using the  $a_1(1260)$  mass of 1230 MeV.

<sup>18</sup> Uses the model of KUHN 90.

<sup>19</sup> Uses the model of ISGUR 89.

<sup>20</sup> Includes the effect of a possible  $a'_1$  state.

<sup>21</sup> Uses the model of FEINDT 90.

<sup>22</sup> Supersedes AKERS 95P.

<sup>23</sup> Average and spread of values using 2 variants of the model of BOWLER 75.

<sup>24</sup> Reanalysis of RUCKSTUHL 86.

<sup>25</sup> Reanalysis of SCHMIDKE 86.

<sup>26</sup> Reanalysis of ALBRECHT 86B.

<sup>27</sup> From a combined reanalysis of ALBRECHT 86B, SCHMIDKE 86, and RUCKSTUHL 86.

<sup>28</sup> From a combined reanalysis of ALBRECHT 86B and DAUM 81B.

<sup>29</sup> Uses the model of BOWLER 75.

<sup>30</sup> Produced in  $K^-$  backward scattering.

## **$a_1(1260)$ DECAY MODES**

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1 (\rho\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}$	seen
$\Gamma_2 (\rho\pi)_{D\text{-wave}}$	seen
$\Gamma_3 (\rho(1450)\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}$	seen
$\Gamma_4 (\rho(1450)\pi)_{D\text{-wave}}$	seen
$\Gamma_5 \sigma\pi$	seen

$\Gamma_6$	$f_0(980)\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_7$	$f_0(1370)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_8$	$f_2(1270)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_9$	$K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.}$	seen
$\Gamma_{10}$	$\pi(1300)\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_{11}$	$\pi\gamma$	seen

### $a_1(1260)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\pi\gamma)$		$\Gamma_{11}$	
VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>640 \pm 246</math></b>	ZIELINSKI	84C SPEC	$200 \pi^+ Z \rightarrow Z3\pi$

### $D\text{-wave}/S\text{-wave}$ AMPLITUDE RATIO IN DECAY OF $a_1(1260) \rightarrow \rho\pi$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>-0.107 \pm 0.016</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>			
$-0.10 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02$	31,32 ACKERSTAFF 97R OPAL	$E_{\text{cm}}^{\text{ee}} = 88\text{--}94, \tau \rightarrow 3\pi\nu$	
$-0.11 \pm 0.02$	31 ALBRECHT 93C ARG	$\tau^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$	

<sup>31</sup> Uses the model of ISGUR 89.  
<sup>32</sup> Supersedes AKERS 95P.

### $a_1(1260)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma((\rho\pi)_S\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$		
VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
68.11	37k	34 ASNER	00 CLE2	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-, \tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

$\Gamma((\rho\pi)_D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_2/\Gamma$		
VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
0.36 $\pm 0.17 \pm 0.06$	37k	34 ASNER	00 CLE2	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-, \tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

$\Gamma((\rho(1450)\pi)_S\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_3/\Gamma$		
VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
0.30 $\pm 0.64 \pm 0.17$	37k	34,35 ASNER	00 CLE2	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-, \tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

$\Gamma((\rho(1450)\pi)_D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_4/\Gamma$		
VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
0.43 $\pm 0.28 \pm 0.06$	37k	34,35 ASNER	00 CLE2	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-, \tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

### $\Gamma(\sigma\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-2}$ )	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$16.18 \pm 3.85 \pm 1.28$	37k	34,36 ASNER	00 CLE2	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

### $\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

### $\Gamma(f_0(980)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-2}$ )	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
not seen	37k	ASNER	00 CLE2	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

### $\Gamma_6/\Gamma$

### $\Gamma(f_0(1370)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-2}$ )	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$4.29 \pm 2.29 \pm 0.73$	37k	34,37 ASNER	00 CLE2	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

### $\Gamma_7/\Gamma$

### $\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-2}$ )	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$0.14 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.02$	37k	34,38 ASNER	00 CLE2	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

### $\Gamma_8/\Gamma$

### $\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-2}$ )	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$3.3 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.1$	37k	39 ASNER	00 CLE2	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

### $\Gamma_9/\Gamma$

### $\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-2}$ )	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
<0.01	90	37k	40,41 ASNER	00 CLE2	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
<0.019	90	37k	40,42 ASNER	00 CLE2	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

### $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

### $\Gamma(\sigma\pi)/\Gamma((\rho\pi)_{S-\text{wave}})$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$\sim 0.3$	28k	AKHMETSHIN 99E CMD2	1.05–1.38 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	
$0.003 \pm 0.003$	33 LONGACRE	82 RVUE		

### $\Gamma_5/\Gamma_1$

<sup>33</sup> Uses multichannel Aitchison-Bowler model (BOWLER 75). Uses data from GAVILET 77, DAUM 80, and DANKOWYCH 81.

- 34 From a fit to the Dalitz plot.  
 35 Assuming for  $\rho(1450)$  mass and width of 1370 and 386 MeV respectively.  
 36 Assuming for  $\sigma$  mass and width of 860 and 880 MeV respectively.  
 37 Assuming for  $f_0(1370)$  mass and width of 1186 and 350 MeV respectively.  
 38 Assuming for  $f_2(1270)$  mass and width of 1275 and 185 MeV respectively.  
 39 From a fit to the  $3\pi$  mass spectrum including the  $K\bar{K}^*(892)$  threshold.  
 40 Assuming for  $\pi(1300)$  mass and width of 1300 and 400 MeV respectively. From a fit to the Dalitz plot.  
 41 Assuming  $\pi(1300) \rightarrow \rho\pi$  decay.  
 42 Assuming  $\pi(1300) \rightarrow \sigma\pi$  decay.
- 

## **a<sub>1</sub>(1260) REFERENCES**

ASNER	00	PR D61 012002	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	99E	PL B466 392	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-2 Collab.)
BONDAR	99	PL B466 403	A.E. Bondar <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-2 Collab.)
ABREU	98G	PL B426 411	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
BARBERIS	98B	PL B422 399	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97R	ZPHY C75 593	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
AKERS	95P	ZPHY C67 45	R. Akers <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ALBRECHT	93C	ZPHY C58 61	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ANDO	92	PL B291 496	A. Ando <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, KYOT, NIRS, SAGA+)
IVANOV	91	ZPHY C49 563	Y.P. Ivanov, A.A. Osipov, M.K. Volkov	(JINR)
ARMSTRONG	90	ZPHY C48 213	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun, W. Beusch	
FEINDT	90	ZPHY C48 681	M. Feindt	(HAMB)
KUHN	90	ZPHY C48 445	J.H. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM)
ISGUR	89	PR D39 1357	N. Isgur, C. Morningstar, C. Reader	(TNTO)
BOWLER	88	PL B209 99	M.G. Bowler	(OXF)
BAND	87	PL B198 297	H.R. Band <i>et al.</i>	(MAC Collab.)
TORNQVIST	87	ZPHY C36 695	N.A. Tornqvist	(HELS)
ALBRECHT	86B	ZPHY C33 7	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
RUCKSTUHL	86	PRL 56 2132	W. Ruckstuhl <i>et al.</i>	(DELCO Collab.)
SCHMIDKE	86	PRL 57 527	W.B. Schmidke <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)
BELLINI	85	SJNP 41 781	D. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 41 1223.		
ZIELINSKI	84C	PRL 52 1195	M. Zielinski <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, MINN, FNAL)
LONGACRE	82	PR D26 83	R.S. Longacre	(BNL)
DANKOWY...	81	PRL 46 580	J.A. Dankowich <i>et al.</i>	(TNTO, BNL, CARL+)
DAUM	81B	NP B182 269	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
DAUM	80	PL 89B 281	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+) JP
GAVILLET	77	PL 69B 119	P. Gavillet <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, NIJM+) JP
BOWLER	75	NP B97 227	M.G. Bowler <i>et al.</i>	(OXFTP, DARE)

## **OTHER RELATED PAPERS**

ZAIMIDOROGA	99	PAN 30 1	O.A. Zaimidoroga	
		Translated from SJPN 30 5.		
AMELIN	95B	PL B356 595	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL)
BOLONKIN	95	PAN 58 1535	B.V. Bolonkin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
		Translated from YAF 58 1628.		
WINGATE	95	PRL 74 4596	M. Wingate, T. de Grand	(COLO, FSU)
CONDO	93	PR D48 3045	G.T. Condo <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC Hybrid Collab.)
GOUZ	92	Dallas HEP 92, p. 572	Yu.P. Gouz <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
		Proceedings XXVI Int. Conf. on High Energy Physics		
IIZUKA	89	PR D39 3357	J. Iizuka, H. Koibuchi, F. Masuda	(NAGO, IBAR+)
BOWLER	86	PL B182 400	M.G. Bowler	(OXF)
BASDEVAnt	78	PRL 40 994	J.L. Basdevant, E.L. Berger	(FNAL, ANL) JP
BASDEVAnt	77	PR D16 657	J.L. Basdevant, E.L. Berger	(FNAL, ANL) JP
ADERHOLZ	64	PL 10 226	M. Aderholz <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BERL, BIRM+)
GOLDHABER	64	PRL 12 336	G. Goldhaber <i>et al.</i>	(LRL, UCB)
LANDER	64	PRL 13 346A	R.L. Lander <i>et al.</i>	(UCSD) JP
BELLINI	63	NC 29 896	G. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	(MILA)